Amendment to the Specification:

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 2, line 36, to read as follows:

In conventional gamma cameras, the contributions of the scintillation crystal and photomultiplier tubes to the energy resolution of the camera are greater than that of the ACD-ADC shift error, so the ADC shift error does not have a significant effect on the overall resolution. However, as the energy resolution of these components improves, the ADC shift error is making a more significant contribution to the overall resolution.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 3, line 7, to read as follows:

The amount of variation in the energy distribution (energy resolution) is typically identified by the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the distribution. For a normal distribution, FWHM relates to the standard deviation of the distribution and is generally calculated by multiplying the standard deviation by 2x (2xln (2))^{1/2} (approximately 2.35). Roughly, it corresponds to the amount of additional error in the pulse, above that of a theoretical pulse with no intrinsic variation (a pure spike), by virtue of the ACD-ADC shift error. The extent to which the ADC shift error increases the FWHM is determined by the pulse shape and the sample frequency of the ADC. For example, for a given pulse shape, an integration period (the time between samples) of 20 ns and 5 samples, a FWHM of about 25% may be obtained. This drops to 13%> when the integration period is reduced to 16 ns and to 5% when an integration period of 10 ns is used. Further reductions to about 1.5% are achieved by increasing the number of samples from 5 to 8, at the 10 ns integration period.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 5, line 30, to read as follows:

One advantage of at least one embodiment of the present invention is that the effect of ACD ADC shift error on pulse integration is reduced.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 7, line 15, to read as follows:

A processor 22 receives the sampled digital pulse energy values, S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , ... S_5 from the ADC. The processor performs an integration of the samples to obtain an uncorrected value for the total energy of the pulse e. g., $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5$. The processor uses a subset of these samples to create a code which correlates with a relationship between the samples in the subset. For example, a plurality of selected consecutive samples e.g., S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 is selected to form the subset. The samples in the subset are preferably selected to encompass a portion of the energy distribution in which the energy is changing rapidly, preferably spanning the peak energy P_e . The processor 22 accesses a correction algorithm table 24 to obtain a correction factor related to the code. The correction factor is then applied to the integrated value of the energy (e.g., the two are multiplied) to generate a corrected energy value. The effect of $\frac{ACD}{ADC}$ shift error on the resulting integrated energies is thereby eliminated or reduced.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 13, line 31, to read as follows:

In addition to correcting the integration of the energy, the correction table is also optionally used to determine the start time of the pulse. Knowing the code value, the corresponding ACD—ADC shift can be read from the table. By subtraction of the ACD—ADC shift from the actual time at which the first sample was taken, the time at which the pulse commenced is determined. Creating a time stamp for the pulse in this way finds application, for example, in positron emission tomography (PET) systems, to create a time to digital conversion.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 14, line 24, to read as follows:

Subsets comprising the first three samples S_1 , S_2 , S_3 are used from each ACD-ADC shift error to generate a code. Specifically, the S_1 , S_2 , S_3 values are normalized to the maximum value at each shift error. For example, the maximum

value for the zero shift error set $S_4 = 0.894791$. Thus, the normalized value of S_3 is 0.865768/0.894791 = 0.967564. Each of the normalized values is then multiplied by a common factor: 15 in the present example. Thus, for the example given, 15 x 0.967564 = 14.51. This is rounded down to the nearest integer = 14, which is equivalent to the 4 bit code 1110.